

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/075,144	02/14/2002	Doreen S. Rao	BSC-201 (1002/276)	6889
22852 7	590 05/25/2004		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			WEBB, SARAH K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Al
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	10/075,144	RAO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del>-/</del>
	Sarah K Webb	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
• •	DIVIC CETTO EVDIDE AM	ONITH(S) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	March 2004.		
·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	3 Office Action or form P1O-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	;
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a l		received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a r	ist of the certified copies flot	10001100.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	<del>_</del> ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/075,144 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-23, drawn to a device, classified in class 623, subclass 1.11.
  - II. Claims 26 and 27, drawn to a method of implanting a device, classified in class 623, subclass 903.
  - III. Claims 24 and 25, drawn to a delivery assembly, classified in class 606, subclass 108.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the assembly as claimed could be used with many devices known in the broad body art of interventional devices. The subcombination has separate utility such as being deployed by a different kind of device such as those known in the art of delivery devices.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of

Art Unit: 3731

using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product could be placed body lumens other than the kidney and ureter.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. If applicant elects to Group 1, applicant must further elect a single species as outlined below.

<u>SPECIES</u>	<u>CLAIMS</u>
A. Figure 1	6,8,9
B. Figure 2	13,16
C. Figure 3A	13,14,16
D. Figure 3B	13,15,16
E. Figure 4C	4,5
F. Figures 4G and H	11,12
G. Figure 4I	10,12,19
H. Figure 7	21

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 17, 18, and 22 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a <u>listing of all claims</u>

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. A telephone call was made to Leslie Bookoff on 5/21/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at

Application/Control Number: 10/075,144 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (703) 605-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW 05/21/04 SLW

DAVID O. REIP PRIMARY EXAMINER